App. F IX-4.

Proposal

1926.

File No. 64-26.

Previous references (Mund)

Mr 171-20 18 45
4 110-18 3, 8, 12, 13
216

Serial Nos. 124

Later references.

Mr 64-26 18 328

Mr: Def No 246
29-80

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Sept 26

SUBJECT

Consolidated estimate amounting to Rs 32,670 for effecting certain improvements to the market circus Bangalore City

---

4 To, The Municipal Commr & the Comptroller
No L 2277-8 d 27 9 26

Sanctions the estimate referred to above

JB 7/10/26.

WD 0 264—GPB—40 000—1 1
App F III—21

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 64 of 1926

Serial No

Letter no 4413 27 8 26 from the Head Cm, Bangalore city.

Reports that the Council proposed to utilise the sanctioned provision of Rs 32,670/- made in the budget for 1925-26 for the improvement of the Market Circars as per estimates, sanctioned from time to time by the Council and shown in the consolidated statement and requests say sanction to the Consolidated scheme now submitted.

The plans of the Market Circars were approved by Govt in their order no R 10382/21 171-20-5 S on 16th March 1921 and it is these plans that has been now adopted for the layout of the Market-Circars Govt have sanctioned a sum of Rs 50,000/- for the layout in their order no 6317-2/Rl 87-14-328 dt 9th May 1918

2. The Head Cm now states that the said sum of Rs 50,000/- was meant solely for the resumption of certain blocks of properties lying within the alleged alignment of the Market Circars as proposed, that in 1921, the Medical Officers in charge of the Victoria Hospital urged the necessity of enclosing the vacant land to the east of the Patient-Dispensary - the City Improvement Committee deemed it necessary to put an ornamental iron railing along the Eastern side of the Market-Circars to which the compound wall of the

Mysore—Centra. Stapt

WD 0 284—GPB—40 000—1 1
App F III—21

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rl File No 64 of 1926

Serial No

the out-Patient Dispensary should continue and that the scheme was approved by the Rural Council in 1922 But it was not submitted to Govt for want of necessary funds until provision of Rs 32,870/ was made in the Rural budget for 1925-26 in the Market Queens and this provision has been utilised in the execution of improvement work as detailed in the statement accompanying under the expert advice of Dr Winnberge and other authorities in preference to the originally approved by the Rural Council

It will be thus seen that the total amount incurred for the lay out of the Market Queens is said to be Rs 66,561/ as noted below -

Compensation already paid	Rs 33,891
Cost of Works now undertaken	" 32,670
Total	66,561

~~And of the total outlay of Rs 66,561/ the~~ Council & the Rural Council expect to reduce the total expenditure to Rs 50 as to limit the excess expenditure incurred over the amount of Rs 50,000/- originally sanctioned for the Market Queens in the G.O of the 9th May 1921 to Rs 5,000/-

5 The Rural Govt now requests sanction to the

WD 01264—CPB—40 000 17 1
[App 1 III - 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 64 (1926)

Serial No

6 the following points -

1) Sanction to the consolidated estimate of Rs 32,670/- amounted against the grant made in the budget for 1925-26

2) Sanction to the excess expenditure of Rs 5,000/- over the sum of Rs 50,000/- previously sanctioned for the Market Queens

3) Sanction to the payment of 2/3 of Rs 500 from Govt. share thereon

Submitted for orders

3/4/26

6- Item the rates does not seem very much, and may perhaps be sanctioned, the H Secretariat being consulted as to whether additional Motor Spirit Bonded Stores)

Yes 8/4

FINANCIAL SECRETARY R 261 026

Secy)

I regret the Finance dept cannot agree to item (3) above as to the payment of 2/3 cost of the excess from Motor Spirit duty I have noted elsewhere the order of 9th May 1918 cannot be considered to be still in force. The policy

WD 0 204—(PB -10 000 —
App F III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 64 of 1926 | 1

Serial No

7761

Govt. in regard to affording financial assistance to the Bangalore city municipality for improvements has to be reexamined

Govt. accordingly to lead order the entire financial responsibility for the improvements specified in the annexure thereof rests on the Municipal Council, and Govt. cannot undertake to contribute any larger amount than what has been promised there in any view of the case.

1
7-9-16
By

W.M. 7-9-16.

Q This file relates to the improvement of the Market Circus, Bangalore City

11 In their order of 5th May 1918 Govt. have promised a grant of 7/8th cost, to an estimate of Rs 50000/-.

12 The Municipal Commr. now wants orders in regard to the following in connection with the Project

1) Sanction to a Contractual estimate of Rs 32670 towards against the grant made in the budget for 1925-26

2) Sanction to the excess expenditure of Rs 5000 incurred over & above Rs 50000/-

3) Sanction to payment of 7/8th of Rs 5000 by Govt.

13 The Sl Supdt is not in favour of

WD 01264—CPB—40 000 1

[App I, III - 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 192

Serial No

2 S The Expenditure has the applicant Sanction may perhaps be given Mr. Mukerji's increased expenditure the increase being met by Mr Hadow for reasons stated by Mr Dewan

L D Dewan) 8/8

14 These papers relates to the Sanction & payment of contribution for improvement of the market areas

15 A sum of Rs 50,000 was originally Sanctioned & the Council has exceeded the estimate by Rs 5000/-

The Council requests Sanction to this extra work Sanction may perhaps be accorded

16 As regards contribution, I think I am not in favour of granting

17 The Council may be asked to explain why they should not meet the excess from their funds

Dewan 8/8

9/9

Appt

13/9/26

8/14/9

Asstt Secy

11/9/26

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Received on
13 AUG 1926
No 1960

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 64 of 1926

Serial No

Copy to Subd Magte

16 9 26 1719 (12 Recd) 28/1875

alp 2219 2/2879

4 | Go no 2277-BG 27 9 26 to Asst Col on Compl re Sanctions the Consolidated Estimate amounting to Rs 32,647/- for effecting certain further improvements to the Market Canal

PB 21- 29/9

Copied in-

No 1091 S 44

See one file containing 12 Exts together with a list of enclosures for them and 2 copies of letters

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Bangalore City Dated 27th August 1926

GENERAL & REVENUE BRANCH 9685 28 AUG 1926 LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

From

B T KESAVAIENGAR Esq B A

Offg MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

BANGALORE CITY

G & REV SECTT RR 27 AUG. 1926 G D SECTION

To

B Nagappa, Esq , Bar-at-Law ,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Local and Legislative Departments,

B a n g a l o r e

SIR, Ml 64-26

With reference to the sanctioned provision of Rs 32,670 for the Market Circus in the Budget for 1925-26, of which the Government contribution is Rs 21,780, I have the honor to report that the Municipal Council proposed to utilise the sanctioned provision of Rs 32,670 for the improvements of the Market Circus, as per estimates sanctioned from time to time by the Municipal Council and shown in the accompanying statement I now submit these estimates for favour of early sanction of --- Government

2 (a) In this connection, I have to submit for the information of Government that the plan of the Market Circus submitted with the Honorary President's letter No. 158 C I dated 9th October 1918 and sanctioned by Government in their Order No 6846-8/Ml 110-18-8 dated 4th December 1918, was for a different alignment of the Circus from what was finally approved of and decided upon

(b) A modified plan was subsequently submitted, with the Honorary President's letter No 151 C I dated 17th November 1919 and sanctioned by Government in their Order No R 7477-9, Ml 110-18-64 dated 7th January 1920

(c)

(c) Again, the Chairman, City Improvement Commit in his letter No 398 C I dated 26th January 1921, suggested for certain reasons explained therein, further alterations the plan of the Market Circus and this was approved in Gov Order No R 10382/M1 171-20-5 dated 16th March 1921 It is this plan that has been finally adopted

3 When submitting the first plan in 1918, the deta cost of the scheme reported in the Honorary President's let No 158 dated 9th October 1918, amounted to Rs 53,000 (against Government sanction of Rs 50,000 in their Order No. 6317-20 87-7-32 dated 9th May 1918) consisting solely of the acqu of certain blocks of properties lying within the alignment the Market Circus then proposed Again, after the plan was finally approved (after two revisions) in 1921, the detail cost of the scheme, as revised, was set forth by the Chairman his letter No 410 dated 3rd February 1921 to the President, City Municipal Council (Copy enclosed) This again comp chiefly of the net cost of acquisition, after deducting r sation from the resale of shop sites, amounting to Rs 50,000, which was within the sanctioned amount The construction of ornamental enclosures round the Market Circus did not form of the original scheme of Market Circus improvements

4 But in August 1921, the Medical Officer in charge the Victoria Hospital urged the necessity for an enclosure to the Out-Patient Dispensary In dealing with this requisi tion the City Improvement Committee deemed it necessary to an ornamental enclosure on the southern side of the Market C cus to which the compound wall of the Out-Patient Dispensary should conform Messrs G H Frumbiegal and S.H Lakshminar sappa were requested to give designs The City Improvement mittee in their meeting held on 15th December 1921, tentativ approved the designs prepared by Mr Lakshminarasappa An e mate for Rs 12,000 was prepared and placed before the Munic

4

City Improvement Committee and accepted by them on 31st May -- [illegible]. [illegible] was sanctioned by the Council on 19th June 1922 [illegible] was not submitted to Government for the apparent reason that there were no funds to meet the cost of the wall until -- provision of Rs 32,670 was made in the Budget for 1925-26 for the Market Circus. During this year, the improvement of the -- Circus was reconsidered as there was only an expenditure of Rs 33,891 paid for compensation and the balance was available for other improvements Under the expert advice of Mr Frumgegal and other authorities, the improvements shown in the accompanying statement were taken up in modification of the ornamental wall at a cost of Rs 12,000 formerly sanctioned by the Municipal Council The cost of the Market Circus will therefore amount to Rs 66,561, as noted below ---

Compensation already paid	Rs	33,891
Works proposed in the accompanying statement	Rs	32,670
T o t a l .	Rs	66,561

The Municipal Council are in correspondence with the Electrica Department for waiving the initial cost of Rs 7,778/- required for the installation of ornamental electric lights to the Market Circus, in lieu of the Municipality paying the royalty for the lights so installed with the result that the cost of the -- Market Circus will be reduced to Rs 58,783 or roughly Rs 9,000 more than what the Government have been pleased to sanction in their Order of 9th May 1918 A further sum of Rs 4,400 is expected to be saved out of the estimates sanctioned, (Vide Col 7 of the accompanying statement,) owing to lower tender rates so that the actual excess will not be more than Rs 5,000 over th the Government sanction of Rs 50,000 for the Market Circus

5. I request that you may be so good as to move the --- Government to sanction the extra cost and also the payment of proportionate contribution due thereon Owing to the chiefly aesthetic character of the improvements of the Market Circus,

expert

xpert advice had to be sought for from Mr Krumbiegal. The hief Electrical Engineer and the Chief Engineer from time time and estimates completed before a final consolida of improvements could be prepared and submitted to Gov In the meantime to expedite execution of work, separate mates were got prepared and preliminarily got sanctioned competent authority of the Municipal Council. Under t cumstances, I request that you may be so good as to me Government to overlook the delay and accord sanction to consolidated scheme now submitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments,

Honorary President.

SM

J.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT ...

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 9681

General and Revenue Secretariat

(ESG)

, the 192

Date of issue. 27/9/26

Signed by .....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Rl

File No 64-26.

Serial No 4

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— G.O. No. 6317-20/Rl 87-14-32 dated 3rd May 1918 sanctioning the layout of the Market Square in the Bangalore City at a cost of Rs 6,000/- the cost being met by Govt. and the Muni. Council in the proportion of 2/3rd and 1/3rd respectively.

2. G.O No R 10382/Rl 171-20 dated 16th March 1921 approving of Owens Thomson No. revised plan for the lay out of the Market Circus in the Bangalore City

3 Letter No. 444 dated 27th August 1926 from the Muni. Comr. Bangalore stating that the [illegible]

Amendment to Scheme No 181-A for Sadashiv Peth Improvement Trust Committee

Chairman Committee in regard to the acquisition of certain blocks of properties lying within the alignment of the Market Circus thus proposed, that the Construction of circumferential roads round the Market Circus and not from part of the original Scheme of Municipal improvements, that in August 1921, the Medical Officer in charge of the Victoria Hospital, urged the necessity for an isolation block to the out-Patient Dispensary, that in keeping with the requirements, the City Improvement Committee deemed it necessary to put up circumferential roads on the northern side of the Market Circus, to which the Compound wall of the out-Patient Dispensary should conform, that the only available amount is Rs 1200/- proposed as per scheme prepared by Mr. Rao S.K. Lakshmanrao and was approved by the Municipal Council in June 1922, but it was not submitted to Govt probably for want of funds for meeting the cost of the work until previous of Rs 32,670/- was made in the Budget for 1925-26 for the Market Circus work under the expert advice of Mr Rambhau and Shri Ambedkar, the improvements suggested were taken up in various of the recommended Roads as

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GD 1629—GTB—1,000,00—6/1 Mr

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Expenditure already paid - Rs 32,091

Work (proposed) 32,670

Total Rs 66,561

a cost of Rs 1200/- (in lieu of the sum proposed by the Rural Council) and that the cost of the Market Circus will therefore amount to Rs 66,561/- as noted in the margin and requesting in the circumstances, sanction to the revised estimate of Rs 32,670 as detailed in the accompanying statement and to the grant made in the City Rural Budget for 1925-26, (2) to the excess expenditure of the Sanitary and Conservancy Staff over the sum of Rs 5000/- originally sanctioned for the Market Circus and also to the payment of the supplementary subscription from Revenue.

order no 1927-8

Govt are pleased to sanction the revised estimate amounting to Rs 32,670/- for effecting certain improvements to the Municipal Circus and sanctioning the excess expenditure of

WL 124—GPD—1,00,000—25.7.28

No 1

A Scheme submitted on behalf of the Port Authority for the improvement of the Harbour facilities in view of the fact that the Authority has no financial responsibility for the improvement of the Harbour area, as land forms in the S.E. of the 9th May 1919, and to which the Urban Council, Port Louis undertakes to contribute any larger amount than what has been promised herein and the excess expenditure should therefore be met from entirely from the funds of the Urban Council.

16/9/19 L.F.L.Sey

To

"The Urban Council, Municipal City, Port Louis

Municipal City Council, Beaufort

& Comptroller

16.9.19. 16/9/19

7